

DT-S

13 April 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR: OPS

SUBJECT: UTILITY ASSESSMENT "P"

OBSERVATIONS:

---Utility Assessment "P" in its present configuration, appears to be marginally successful.

---The binary parameters of the time window appear sufficiently succinct to ensure a normal rate of success.

---The target label, described as "the item of special interest" or "the intelligence question", seems to lack specificity and fails to adequately narrow the focus of attention towards the target.

---The remote viewers do not seem to be particularly enthused about Utility Assessment "P" as a project. (Apparently for lack of feedback).

RECOMMENDATIONS:

---Maintain the same time window, ie, remote view (predictively) on Thursday to obtain results published on Monday.

---Narrow the target label from all data published on the front page to narrative descriptions and sketches of photographs with captions appearing on the front page of the target.

--- Formally retask remote viewers (without explanation, comments or comparison with previous levy against the target). For example, "Utilizing all your remote viewing skills acquired to date, remote view the tasking outlined in the sealed envelope and submit the results of your effort by COB of the Thursday work day." ?

---Maintain a data base for comparison purposes between previous effort and current effort.

how about telling the viewers that
the target is a photograph of intelligence
interest? yes see below

~~Sketch the photograph and describe it~~

~~Sketch the photograph of special interest!~~

~~Sketch the photograph of special interest and describe its significance~~